

## **Qmlativ Student Benefits Comparison:** *Elevate Your Efficiency*

Discover how Qmlativ transforms your student workflow with faster processing, seamless data access, and user-friendly features. This comparison handout outlines how Skyward listened to users and improved upon SMS 2.0 processes within Qmlativ.

MODULE	SMS 2.0	QMLATIV
Attendance	SMS 2.0 relies on manual checks for attendance.	Qmlativ has an attendance feature to make information easy to find.
	The office must set up, run, and review scheduled reports to find out which teachers and classes have not recorded attendance for the day.	The recorded/unrecorded class attendance feature quickly shows which teachers or classes have recorded attendance, and live tiles can display this on your dashboard.
CEDARS	SMS 2.0 follows WSIPC's schedule for CEDARS transmissions to OSPI.	Qmlativ allows flexibility for CEDARS submissions.
	Districts must follow WSIPC's weekly transmission schedule to OSPI for CEDARS and use the designated process to request additional transmissions.	Districts can submit CEDARS to OSPI anytime, following a schedule and frequency that best fit their needs.
Food Service	SMS 2.0 requires manual standard item changes.	Qmlativ has a schedule option for standard items.
	Food service cashiers must update the standard item via Point-of-Sale when switching between breakfast and lunch.	Districts can set timeframes for standard items in the Point-of-Sale system to prevent selling lunch as breakfast or vice versa.

MODULE	SMS 2.0	QMLATIV
Grading	SMS 2.0 requires transferring grades to the grading module to create report cards.	Qmlativ has an interconnected module to automatically pull grades for report cards.
	At the end of each grading period, teachers must run a process to post grades from the gradebook to the grading module for report cards.	The teacher gradebook and administrative grading module are closely interconnected, eliminating the need to post grades. Grades automatically appear on report cards after each grading period.
State and Federal Reporting	SMS 2.0 reports were developed over many years and lack consistency.	Qmlativ state reports are streamlined, standardized, and consistent.
	Many state and federal reports require user-built templates to define data extraction, which must be recreated annually.	State reports have a consistent interface, making them easy to use. Templates are no longer needed, and reports pull directly from existing records, eliminating duplicate work.
Student Year End	SMS 2.0 requires a lockdown to process year-end tasks.	Qmlativ allows year-end tasks to be run at any time.
	Districts typically restrict database access for 1–2 days to complete Year-End processing before reopening it for the new school year.	Districts can run year-end processes anytime without locking the database. After the school year ends, they can update the current school year field to start the new year.